UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,873	04/15/2004	Shannon V. Davidson	064747.1016	7114
45507 BAKER BOTT	7590 02/26/200 S LLP	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV	·=	GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J		
6TH FLOOR DALLAS, TX 7	75201-2980	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2445	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail3@bakerbotts.com PTOmail4@bakerbotts.com

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal B	rief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/824,873	DAVIDSON, SHANNON V.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	2445	

Defere the Filipp of an Annual Drief			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	2445	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	r, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(it Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	e extension fee ate extension fee be action; or (2) as wen if timely filed,
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in comp Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplicant / internament (102 024).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-27.		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but A - Applicant argues "Haynes fail to describe a card and	'at least two processors integrated	to the card".	
A - Haynes discloses a plurality of processors connected to the and at least also, communication paths between process communication by other jobs [Haynes, section 6, lines 1: a plurality of processors along with a plurality of ports.	sors in the blue job traversed switch 18-120, last paragraph before section	es that were also use	ed for
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

/Patrice Winder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090219